VV FGS288EHV471 RR & EHLG No Objection to Declassification in Full 2010/06/11: LOC-HAK-158-2-2-2 ME RUTLAAD #0013 1521020 JUNE 1,1975 ZNR UUUUU ZZH ZYN R Ø10720Z JUN 75 FM FBIS TEL AVIV 13:00 TO RUTLAAA/FBIS WASHDC RUEBFGA/VOA WASHDC RUEHLG/USDEL SALZBURG **FBT A** BT UNCLAS BBC COPY TO AAD ATT N PETER RODMAN, SECRETARY'S PARTY

RABIN DISCUSSES U.S. ROLE, ISRAELI POSITION IN SETTLEMENT TALKS

TAØ1Ø72ØY TAKE 1 OF 4--RABIN AL HAMISHMAR INTERVIEW TEL AVIV 'AL HAMISHMAR SUPPLEMENT 3Ø MAY 75 PP 4-7 TA ((INTERVIEW OF PRIME MINISTER YIZHAQ RABIN BY A PANEL OF

'AL HAMISHMAR JOURNALISTS--TIME AND PLACE OF INTERVIEW NOT GIVEN))

((EXCERPTS)) ((PASSAGE OMITTED)) ((QUESTION)) HOW SHOULD WE
VIEW THE LETTER OF THE SENATORS? IS IT RIGHT TO SAY THAT THIS IS

AN UNRESERVED SUPPORT?

((ANSWER)) THE LETTER OF THE 76 RPT 76 SENATORS IS OF POLITICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL IMPORTANCE. THIS DOES NOT MEAN THAT ISRAEL WILL HAVE A FREE HAND TO PRESENT STANDS AS IT WISHES--ONE SHOULD BE CAREFUL ABOUT THE INTERPRETATION--OR THAT THERE IS NO DEMAND BY CONGRESS TO CONTINUE THE DIPLOMATIC PROCESS AND TO ADVANCE TOWARD PEACE. NEVERTHELESS, THE FIRM STAND ON CENTRAL ISSUES EXISTS, BOTH IN CONNECTION WITH THE CONTINUATION OF AIR FOR THE STATE'S SECURITY AND ECONOMY AND FOR CENTRAL POINTS OF A POLITICAL SOLUTION. THESE STANDS ARE CLOSER TO THE ISRAELI POSITION THAN TO THE ARAB POSITION. ((PASSAGE OMITTED))

((QUESTION)) FOLLOWING THE FAILURE OF THE KISSINGER TALKS

THERE WAS A FEELING THAT THE SKIES WERE FALLING ON US.

((ANSWER)) WHOSE FEELING?

((QUESTION)) AMONG THE PUBLIC.

((ANSWER)) AMONG THE PUBLIC, YES, BECAUSE A SECTION TRIED TO DESCRIBE THE SITUATION IN VERY SERIOUS TERMS. I DON'T WANT TO SAY THAT WE ARE NOT IN A DIFFICULT PERIOD. THERE IS AN EXISTING ARAB REALITY WHICH IS DIFFERENT FROM THAT WHICH EXISTED BEFORE THE YOM KIPPUR WAR AND THERE IS ALSO A DIFFERENT INTERNATIONAL REALITY, BUT THE DESCRIPTION OF OUR SITUATION AFTER THE SUSPENSION OF THE TALKS WAS EXAGGERATED AND I THINK THAT TIME HAS PROVED THIS.

((QUESTION)) GOING BACK TO THE CLEARING IN THE ATMOSPHERE WHICH HAS OCCURRED. IS IT TRUE TO SAY THAT AN ISRAELI CLARIFICATION OF THE QUESTION: WHAT KIND OF A STATE WE WANT-THAT IS, WHAT IS THE ULTIMATE AIM--WOULD HELP US? HAS THE HOUR

NOT COME TO ANSWER THIS QUESTION?

((ANSWER)) IT WOULD BE CORRECT TO SAY THAT SINCE WE HAVE STOPPED DEALING IN THE ROGERS PLAN THERE HAS BEEN AN AMERICAN POLICY OF NOT PRESENTING PEACE PLANS OF THEIR OWN, BECAUSE OF THE RECOGNITION THAT IN THE FINAL ANALYSIS AN AGREEMENT WHICH IS NOT BASED ON CONSENT BETWEEN THE SIDES--EVEN IN INDIRECT NEGOTIATIONS--WOULD NOT BE AN AGREEMENT OF ANY SIGNIFICANCE.

THE IM No Objection to Declassification in Full 2010/06/11: LOC-HAK-158-2-2-2 IT AND THE AMERICAN AIM HAS BEEN, AND I HOPE IT WILL CONTINUE TO BE SO IN THE FUTURE, TO SEARCH FOR WAYS TO BRIDGE THE GAP BETWEEN THE POSITIONS. PREVIOUS ISRAELI GOVERNMENTS HAVE MADE VERY SERIOUS EFFORTS TO REACH AN UNDERSTANDING WITH THE UNITED STATES ON THE QUESTION OF BORDERS. THESE EFFORTS HAVE NOT ACHIEVED ANY AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE SIDES.

((QUESTION)) WHY?

((ANSWER)) BECAUSE THE AMERICANS HAVE NOTT COMMITTED

THEMSELVES TO US ON A POSITION WHICH IS NOT ACCEPTABLE TO

EGYPT, JUST AS I HOPE THAT THEY WILL CONTINUE TO REFRAIN FROM

COMMITTING THEMSELVES TO EGYPT ON A POSITION WHICH IS NOTT

ACCEPTABLE TO US. THE UNITED STATES REMAINS THE ONLY ELEMENTS

WHICH CAN REALLY PLAY THE ROLE OF MEDIATOR WITH THE AIM OF

ACHIEVING AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE SIDES, BECAUSE ITS APPROACH IS

DIFFERENT FROM THAT OF THE SOVIET UNION AND OF PART OF THE

EUROPEAN COUNTRIES. THE MOMENT THAT IT COMES FORWARD WITH A

POSITION OF ITS OWN IT WILL LOSE ITS ABILITY TO BE THE ELEMENT

ACCEPTABLE TO THE SIDES AS A MEDIATOR AND CHANNEL FOR NEGOTIATIONS.

IF IT HAS A POSITION OF ITS OWN THEN ONE OF THE SIDES AGREES WITH IT AND THUS IT LOSES ITS ABILITY TO MANEUVER. THE UNITED STATES UNDERSTANDS THIS COMPLETELY IN VIEW OF THE FAILURE OF THE ROGERS PLAN. HOWEVER, TO MY GREAT REGRET THIS HAS NOTT BEEN UNDERSTOOD BY THE SOVIET UNION WHICH HAS PREFERRED, OUT OF ITS DESIRE TO STRESS ITS RELATIONS WITH THE ARAB COUNTRIES, TO BE ON THEIR SIDE CONTINUOUSLY. HENCE, THE SOVIET UNION HAS LOST ITS VALUE AS AN ELEMENT IN THE POLITICAL PROCESS EVEN IH THE EYES OF THE ARABS.

((QUESTION)) IS IT CORRECT TO ASSUME THAT IN HIS TALKS WITH YOU AND WITH AS-SADAT, PRESIDENT FORD WILL NOT SUGGEST AN AMERICAN

PLAN OF HIS OWN?

((ANSWER)) I DO NOT WANT TO COMMIT MYSELF TO WHAT PRESIDENT FORD WILL OR WON'T DO. I HAVE REASON TO ASSUME THAT--AS WE WERE INFORMED--THE MEETINGS WITH AS-SADAT AND WITH ME ARE PART OF THE REASSESSMENT PROCESS AND THAT THERE WILL BE NO CONCLUSION OF THE ASSESSMENT UNTIL AFTER THE TALKS. THAT IS, THEY CAN EXAMINE VIEWS BUT THEY WILL NOT COME FORWARD WITH A POSITION. IF WE ACCEPT WHAT WAS SAID TO US, AND I DO ACCEPT IT--I HAVE TO BELIEVE THAT THE PROCESS OF REASSESSMENT WILL CONTINUE UNTIL AFTER THE TWO MEETINGS.

((QUESTION)) IS IT POSSIBLE TO EXPEC THE PROCESS OF REASSESSMENT

TO GIVE BIRTH TO AN AMERICAN PLAN?

((ANSWER)) I DON'T WANT TO PROPHESY. I HAVE NO AUTHORITY FROM PRESIDENT FORD TO SAY DEFINITELY "NO". I THINK THIS WOULD BE A MISTAKE ON THE PART OF THE UNITED STATES IF IT DOES SO, BECAUSE IT WOULD LOSE ITS ABILITY AND FLEXIBILITY AS A BODY SERVING AS AN ACTIVE CHANNEL IN THE PROCESS OF POLITICAL NEGOTIATIONS. BECAUSE THE MOMENT IT ADOPTS A STAND, THEN: TAKE IT OR LEAVE IT. SINCE THE ROGERS PLAN THERE HAS BEEN AN AMERICAN POSITION NOT TO COME FORWARD WITH A PLAN OF THEIR OWN.

((QUESTION)) DO YOU THINK THAT THIS STAND HAS CHANGED? ((ANSWER)) I DO NOT SAY SO, BUT I DO NOTT THINK THAT IT NECESSARILY HAS TO BE IDENTICAL. 30 MAY AA/ERCK/SZ 01/1050Z JUN MORE ATTN PETER RODMAN, SECRETARY'S PARTY
TAØ10747Y TAKE 2 OF 4-RABIN AL HAMISHMAR INTERVIEW
TEL AVIV 'AL HAMISHMAR SUPPLEMENT 30 75 XXX TO BE IDENTICAL.

((TXCERPTS)) ((QUESTION)) WOULD YOU CONSIDER IT A MISTAKE TO EXPLAIN IN PUBLIC THE PRINCIPLES FOR A COMPREHENSIVE SETTLEMENT?

((ANSWER)) IF WE ENTER NEGOTIATIONS FOR A COMPREHENSIVE SETTLEMENT THEN WE SHALL HAVE TO COME FORWARD WIH DEFINITE POSITIONS. I DO NOTT THINK THE WORLD WILL MARVEL AT ISRAEL IF WE DEFINE THE BEST POSSIBLY ATTAINABLE PEACE BY AN "X" NUMBER OF PARAGRAPHS. WHOEVER THINKS OF IMPRESSING THE WORLD BY PRESENTING 20 RPT 20 ARTICLES OF PEACE IS MISTAKEN, BECAUSE THEY WISH TO RECEIVE FROM US A COMPREHENSIVE PICTURE OF ALL THE COMPONENTS OF PEACE. ((AS PUBLISHED)) HOWEVER, FROM THE ISRAELI POINT OF VIEW THE TERRITORIAL ISSUE IS UNDOUBTEDLY PART OF THE QUESTION OF WHAT KIND OF PEACE THE ARABS ARE READY FOR. THEREFORE, IT IS VERY DIFFICULT TO RAISE SUCH A PROPOSAL AT PRESENT, AND I DO NOTT ADVISE THAT WE DRAW PRECISE OUTLINES FOR PUBLIC PRESENTATION. IT IS ENOUGH THAT WE HAVE CLARIFIED A FEW PRINCIPLES REGARDING THE INTENDED MAP.

((QUESTION)) WHICH ARE?

((ANSWER)) WE SAID THAT IN RETURN FOR PEACE--A PEACE TREATY--WE SHALL BE PREPARED TO RETURN MOST OF THE SINAL AND DEMILITERIZE IT. WE ALSO SAID WE WANT AN ISRAELL PRESENCE AT OR CONTROL OF SHARAM-ASH-SHAYKH, INCLUDING TERRITORIAL CONTINUITY WITH IT. AS FOR THE GOLAN HEIGHTS, WE HAVE DETERMINED THAT EVEN WITH A PEACE AGREEMENT WE DO NOTT WANT TO COME DONW FROM THE HEIGHTS. WE HAVE NOT DETERMINED A LINE NOR ARE WE STICKING TO THE PRESENT ONE.

((QUESTION)) WHEN YOU SAY "WE DO NOTT WANT TO COME DOWN", DOES THIS MEAN THAT WE WILL NOTT COME DOWN?

((ANSWER)) WE WILL NOTT COME DOWN.

((QUESTION)) ON ANOTHER OCCASION YOU SAID, "WE SHALL KEEP CERTAIN FOOTHOLDS ON THE HEIGHTS".

((ANSWER)) I SAID: EVEN WITH A PEACE AGREEMENT WE SHALL NOTT DESCEND FROM THE GOLAN HEIGHTS, WHICH DOES NOTT MEAN STICKING TO THE PRESENT LINE.

((QUESTION)) SHOULD THERE BE ANOTHER ROUND OF TALKS WITH THE SYRIANS REGARDING AN INTERIM SETTLEMENT?

((ANSWER)) I DID NOTT SAY SO. IN MY OPINION THERE CANNOT BE NEGOTIATIONS ABOUT AN INTERIM SETTLEMENT BETWEEN ISRAEL AND SYRIA, BECAUSE OF SYRIA'S STAND AND BECAUSE OF THE LIMITED TERRITORIAL SPACE. THERE I SEE A NEED FOR A COMPREHENSIVE AGREEMENT.

((QUESTION)) AN IMPRESSION PREVAILS THAT AN INTERNATIONAL CONSENSUS IS FORMING WHICH WILL RECOGNIZE THE 1967 RPT 1967 ISRAELI BOUNDARIES, ACCOMPANIED BY SECURITY AMENDMENTS. IS THERE NO FEAR OF FINDING OURSELVES THE ONLY ELEMENT NOTT ABLE TO DISCERN THIS INTERNATIONAL "CONSPIRACY"?

No Objection to Declassification in Full 2010/06/11: LOC-HAK-158-2-2-2

((ANSWER)) A SIMILAR CONCESUS HAS ALREADY BEEN CRYSTALLIZED IN THERE WAS THE ROGERS PLAN IN 1969 RPT 1969; THE PAST. WHICH IN FACT WAS NEVER REALIZED. THE SITUATION IS DIFFERENT TODAY -- AND FOR THE BETTER -- FROM THE ONE IN WHICH WE FOUND OURSELVES IN 1968-69 RPT 1968-69. BOTH THE JORDAN PLAN, WITH RUSK'S SEVEN POINTS, AND THE ROGERS PLAN WERE MORE OR LESS BASED ON THE RETURN TO THE 1967 RPT 1967 BOUNDARIES. IT IS EVIDENT THAT THIS PLAN DID NOTT TURN INTO A CONCRETE POLITICAL PLAN. POSITIONS OF THE SIDES HAVE, THEREFORE, NOTT CHANGED IN PRI CIPLE. NEITHER THE EUROPEANS, WHO HAVE POSSIBLY EVEN SOFTENED, NOR THE RUSSIANS AND CERTAINLY NOTT THE UNITED STATES, WHICH SINCE 1970 RPT 1970 HAS NOTT PRESENTED THE ROGERS PLAN AS ITS OWN POLITICAL IT IS POSSIBLE TO SAY THAT, AS COMPARED TO THE PAST, PR OGR AM. THE SITUATION HAS IMPROVED AND NOTT WORSENED. WHAT WILL HAPPEN IN THE FUTURE -- WHO KNOWS?

((QUESTION)) YOU HAVE IGNORED JUDAEA AND SAMARIA UP TO NOW. THERE ARE PLANS REFERRING TO SETTLEMENT WHICH WOULD GUARD THE JEWISH CHARACTER OF THIS REGION. WE HAVE NOTT HEARD ANY CLEAR DEFINITION FROM YOU REGARDING GIVING BACK JUDAEA AND SAMARIA.

((ANSWER)) TWO ISSUES ARE WRAPPED TOGETHER IN THE ALINEMENT PLATFORM: SETTLEMENT WITH JORDAN AND THE PALESTINIAN ISSUE. A VERY CLEAR POSITION IS DEFINED IN IT: IN THE ORIGINAL LAND OF ISRAEL THERE IS ROOM FOR ONLY TWO STATES. THE PALESTINIAN ISSUE HAS TO BE EXPRESSED THROUGH A PALESTINIAN IDENTITY WITHIN A JORDANIAN-PALESTINIAN STATE AND THERE IS NO ROOM FOR A THIRD STATE. THE BORDER BETWEEN THE TWO STATES IS SUBJECT TO NEGOTIATIONS.

((QUESTION)) A DECLARATION WAS MADE REGARDING THE SECULRY

BOUNDARIES: WE WILL NOTT MOVE FROM THE JORDAN RIVER.

((ANSWER)) NO INTERPRETATION WAS GIVEN TO THE FACT THAT THE JORDAN CONSTITUTES ISRAEL'S SECURITY BORDER. THE MEANING WAS NOTT DETERMINED.

((QUESTION)) WELL THEN, WHAT IS THE MEANING?

((ANSWER)) I DO NOTT WISH TO ENTER INTO THIS. I WANT TO REMIND YOU THAT EVEN THE NATIONAL UNITY GOVERNMENT DECIDED (NOTT AS A GOVERNMENT DECISION) TO EXAMINE THE POSSIBLE EXTENT THIS COULD CONSTITUTE A BASIS FOR NEGOTIATIONS. SINCE THEN AND UNTIL THIS DAY MANY GOVERNMENTS, INCLUDING THE PRESENT ONE, HAVE REEXAMINED THE ANSWER HAS BEEN THAT IT COULD NOTT BE ACCEPTED AS A THIS ISSUE. BASIS FOR NEGOTIATIONS. SINCE SEPTEMBER 1974, SINCE THE RABAT CONFERENCE, A QUESTION HAS EXISTED ABOUT JORDAN BEING A PARTNER WE CONTINUE TO EXAMINE TO A POLITICAL SETTLEMENT EAST OF ISRAEL. AND BELIEVE THAT JORDAN IS THE COUNTRY WITH WHICH WE HAVE TO REACH A PEACE SETTLEMENT REGARDING OUR EASTERN BORDER. 30 MAY TR/ERCK/SZ Ø1/1140Z JUN MORE BT

#0014

TAØ1Ø81ØY TAKE 3 OF 4--RABIN 'AL HAMISHMAR INTERVIEW
TEL AVIV 'AL HAMISHMAR SUPPLEMENT 3Ø 75 XXX OUR EASTERN BORDER. 5

((EXCERPTS)) ((QUESTION)) IF WE ARE TO BE UP TO DATE SHOULD WE NOW OFFER HUSAYN SOMETHING TO PREVENT HIS JOINING AN EASTERN FRONT?

((ANSWER)) THERE IS NO POSSIBILITY AT PRESENT OF ACHIEVING A COMPREHENSIVE PEACE, A PEACE AGREEMENT, WITH ANY ONE ARAB COUNTRY SEPARATELY. WE TRIED IT-BOTH PREVIOUS GOVERNMENTS AND THE PRESENT ONE-AND FOUND OUT THAT NO SUCH POSSIBILITY EXISTS.

((QUESTION)) NAMELY, THERE CANNOT BE ANY DEALINGS WITH THE

JORDANIAN ISSUE PRIOR TO GENEVA?

((ANSWER)) I DID NOTT SAY SO. HOWEVER, NOTT IN REGARD TO A COMPREHENSIVE SETTLEMENT. NOTT BECAUSE WE ARE NOTT READY, BUT BECAUSE IT IS DOUBTFUL WHETHER JORDAN HAS THE NECESSARY MANDATE. DURING KISSINGER'S SHUTTLE TOUR EGYPT CATEGORICALLY TOLD US: ON NO ACCOUNT WILL THERE BE A SEPARATE COMPREHENSIVE PEACE AGREEMENT WITH US. NO ARAB STATE WILL SIGN A SEPARATE PEACE AGREEMENT WITH ISRAEL, NOTT EVEN WITHIN THE 1967 RPT 1967 BOUNDARIES.

((QUESTION)) WE HAVE ACTED A LONG TIME ACCORDING TO THE THESIS THAT IT WAS POSSIBLE TO DRIVE A WEDGE BEWEEN THE ARAB STATES.

WAS THIS ERRONEOUS?

((ANSWER)) I DO NOTT WISH TO ENTER INTO THE QUESTION ABOUT WHAT THESIS WE FOLLOWED. HOWEVER, IT WAS SHOWN THAT EGYPT IS PREPARED FOR A SEPARATE INTERIN SETTLEMENT. THEY NEGOTIATED WITH US AND WE DID NOTT ACCEPT THEIR CONCRETE TERMS, BUT THE ISSUE OF THEIR UNPREPAREDNESS TO MAKE A SEPARATE AGREEMENT WITH US WAS NEVER RAISED.

((QUESTION)) NOW, ON THE THRESHOLD OF FURTHER TALKS CONCERNING A SETTLEMENT WITH EGYPT, IS IT TRUE TO SAY THAT WE ADHERE TO A QUID PRO QUO WHICH IS NOTHING BUT A PERIOD OF TIME, OR TO A QUID

PRO QUO WHICH ALSO INCLUDES POLITICAL COMPONENTS?

((ANSWER)) AT THE MEETING WITH FORD ALL THE POSSIBILITIES, FROM ISRAEL'S SIDE, FOR ADVANCEMENT TOWARD PEACE WILL BE RAISED. THE GOVERNMENT WILL DECIDE WHAT IS PREFERABLE FOR WHAT AND WHICH WHEN A LIMITED DIRECTION TO BE PREFERRED OVER ANOTHER. INTERIM AGREEMENT IS DISCUSSED WE SHALL EXAMINE IT ACCORDING TO FOUR CRITERIA: A) IN WHAT MEASURE IT ADVANCES US TOWARD PEACE, EVEN AS A CONCRETE, SYMBOLIC NUCLEUS FOR MOVES WHICH CONSTITUTE PROGRESS TOWARD PEACE. B) ENFORCING THE LIMITATION OF THE USE OF FORCE AS A MEANS OF REACHING A SOLUTION TO THE CONFLICT, SINCE THE ISSUE IN A LIMITED INTERIM AGREEMENT IS NOTT THE ENDING OF THE STATE OF BELLIGERENCY. WHEN WE DECIDED TO DISCUSS A LIMITED AGREEMENT, WE DID IT ON THE BASIS OF A STATE OF BELLIGERENCY STILL EXISTING. C) THE PERIOD OF THE AGREEMENT'S EFFECTIVENESS, NOTT ONLY VERBALLY BUT PRACTICALLY. LEARNED THAT THE PERIOD OF THE AGREEMENT'S EFFECTIVENESS

IS NOTT DETERMINED BY GENERAL FORMULAS BUT FIRST AND FOREMOST BY THE PERIOD OF EFFECTIVENESS OF THE MANDATE OF THE UN FORCES STATIONED IN THE BUFFER ZONE AND SUPERVISING THE GENERAL COMPONENTS OF THE AGREEMENT, ESPECIALLY THE CEASE-FIRE AND THE THINNING OUT OF FORCES. IN THIS SPHERE I REGARD THE EGYPTIAN REPLY AS MOST UNSATISFACTORY. D) LINES AND ARRANGEMENTS IN THE AREA UNDER CONDITIONS OF AN . AGREEMENT WHICH IS NOTT AN END TO THE STATE OF BELLIGERENCY. UHDER THAT CIRCUMSTANCE, I DO NOTT SEE ANY POSSIBILITY OF ISRAEL GIVING UP THE PASSES, OR A CONSIDERABLE PAR OF THEM, AS A DEFENSE LINE AND I SHALL NOTT ENTER INTO THE QUESTION OF WHICH PART EXACTLY. AT THE SAME TIME, HAD WE BEEN SATISFIED POLITICALLY REGARDING THE PERIOD OF THE AGREEMENT'S EFFECTIVENESS, THE OIL ISSUE, WITH ALL ITS IMPORTANCE -- AND I REGARD IT AS LESS IMPORTANT THAN INSURING A DEFENSE LINE BASED ON A CONSIDERABLE PART OF THE CREST WHICH BLOCKS THE WAY INTO SINAI -- WE WOULD HAVE SETTLED.

((QUESTION)) DO WE U DERSTAND THAT YOUR STIPULATION IN REGARD TO THE IMPORTANCE OF THE PASSES IS NOTT AFFECTED BY THE 5 JUNE EVENT? NAMELY, THAT ONCE THE CANAL IS REOPENED TO NAVIGATION, DOES IT NOTT LIMIT THE SIGNIFICANCE OF A DEFENSE LINE BY THE MERE FACT OF CIVILIAN TRAFFIC PASSING IN THE CANAL?

((ANSWER)) THE EXISTENCE OF TRAFFIC PASSING LHROUGHITPER CANAL IISIKOR VARIOUSIREASGNU IHPORTANL MAINLYITG EGYPT, REUPECIALLYIAFLER LHA ANCOUNCEVECTITPATITPEQ ESTIMATE EN INCOME OF HALF A XB8))89: '9))-4 8, 5#3 18 5 63-4. HOWEVER.

IN MY OPINION, IT IS DOUBTFUL WHETHER THERE IS A SERIOUS BASIS FOR THE ASSUMPTION THAT AN OPEN CANAL WILL OBLIGE EGYPT TO REFRAIN FROM WAR.

((QUESTION)) MEANING THAT IT CANNOT BE REGARDED AS A PEACEFUL GESTURE?

((ANSWER)) NO. IT IS A GESTURE OF NORMALIZING EGYPTIAN LIFE IN THE CANAL AREA. AS-SADAT, TOO, DOES NOTT SAY IT IS A GESTURE OF PEACE.

((QUESTION)) DO YOU ESTIMATE THAT THE EGYPTIANS WILL TRANSFER SOME FORCES FOLLOWING THE REOPENING OF THE CANAL?

((ANSWER)) I BELIEVE THAT EGYPT WILL RESPECT ITS UNDERTAKINGS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SEPARATION OF FORCES AGREEMENT. TRANSFERING FORCES IS A VIOLATION OF THE THINNING-OUT AGREEMENT. DO NOTT BELIEVE THAT EGYPT, WHICH UNDERTOOK AN OBLIGATION BY THE VERY SIGNING OF THE AGREEMENT, WOULD DO SUCH A THING. IF THE CANAL IS REOPENED ISRAEL WILL REGARD IT WITH A FRIENDLY EYE.

((QUESTION)) SHOULD ISRAEL CONTRIBUTE TO THE NORMALIZATION PROCESS ALONG THE CANAL AREA, NAMELY-SHOULD THERE BE A PARALLEL ISRAELI GESTURE?

((ANSWER)) I SHALL NOT ENTER INTO DETAILS AS TO ISRAEL'S FUTURE MOVES. 30 MAY 75 TR/ERCK/NE MORE 01/1225Z JUN BT

ATTN PETER RODMAN, SECRETARY'S PARTY
TA010928Y TAKE 4 OF 4--ARABIN AL HAMISHMAR INTERVIEW
TEL AVIV 'AL HAMISHMAR SUPPLEMENT 30 75 XXX ISRAEL'S FUTURE
MOVES.

((EXCERPTS)) ((QUESTION)) WHY?

((ANSWER)) THINK THAT EGYPT'S DECISION TO REOPEN THE CANAL IS NOTT A GESTURE TOWARD ISRAEL. IT IS FIRST AND FOREMOST A GESTURE TOWARD THE SOVIET UNION, TOWARD EUROPE AND A MOVE INTENDED TO INFLUENCE AMERICAN PUBLIC OPINION. ISRAEL WAS EGYPT'S LAST CONSIDERATION. IN MY OPINION IT IS A STEP WHICH WAS INTENDED TO CREATE A BETTER ATMOSPHERE IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE CONCESSIONS TO WHICH ISRAEL HAD NOTT AGREED TO IN THE COURSE OF THE TALKS.

((QUESTION)) COMMONSENSE DICTATES THAT AN OPEN CANAL, WHICH WOULD ENABLE THE SOVIET UNION TO INCREASE ITS POWER IN THE PERSIAN GULF, MUST ENCOURAGE A MORE POSITIVE AMERICAN VIEW OF ISRAEL AS ITS ALLY IN OUR AREA?

K(ANSWER)) THE SOVIET NAVY COULD HAVE PASSED THROUGH
THE CANAL THE MOMENT IT WAS CLEANED AND WITHOUT IT BEING OPENED
TO COMMERCIAL NAVIGATION. THE MOMENT WE WITHDREW FROM THE CANAL
AND THE EGYPTIANS DECIDED TO CLEAR IT, WHETHER IT WAS REOPENED
TO COMMERCIAL NAVIGATION OR NOTT, THE SOVIETS HAD A NAVAL AXIS
FOR TRANSFERRING FORCES FROM THE MEDITERRANEAN TO THE RED SEA
AND THE INDIAN OCEAN. I DO NOTT THINK THIS WILL INFLUENCE AMERICAN
CONSIDERATIONS.

((QUESTION)) ASSUMING THAT A PARTIAL SETTLEMENT AMOUNTS
TO SUICIDE IF SOME OF THE ARAB COUNTRIES CONSIDER IT PART OF THE
PLAN TO LIQUIDATE ISRAEL, WOULDN'T IT BE RIGHT TO STRUGGLE FOR
AN OVERALL SETTLEMENT AND MOBILIZE JEWISH AND WORLD PUBLIC OPINION
FOR THIS PURPOSE?

((ANSWER)) I DO NOTT CONSIDER IT POSSIBLE TO ACHIEVE AN OVERALL SETTLEMENT, BECAUSE THE MOMENT WE ENTER INTO THE DETAILS OF THE OVERALL AGREEMENT WE WILL FACE A KNOWN ARAB POSITION: WITHDRAWAL TO THE 1967 RPT 1967 LINES ON ALL FRONTS AND ESTABLISHMENT OF A 'ARAFATITE STATE IN AJUDAEA AND SAMARIA AND THE GAZA STRIP--AND THIS NOTT IN RETURN FOR PEACE, BUT IN RETURN FOR THE TERMINATION OF THE STATE OF BELLIGERENCY OR PEACE THE WAY AS-SADAT AND AL-ASAD INTERPRET IT. 'ARAFAT HAS SAID WHAT HE WANTS HE IS PREPARED TO CONTENT HIMSELF WITH SUCH A STATE AT THIS STAGE, BUT HE HAS NOTT GIVEN UP THE CENTRAL IDEA, WHICH IS THE POLITICAL, PHILOSOPHICAL CONCEPT OF THE TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS --TO LIQUIDATE ISRAEL AS A SOVEREIGN STATE. I DO NOTT UNDERSTAND WHY OUR SITUATION WILL BE BETTER WHEN WE ARRIVE AT A CONFRONTATION WITH THIS POSITION? FOR THE TIME BEING, I DO NOTT SEE THAT IF THERE IS ARAB AGREEMENT IT IS AROUND AN OVERALL SETTLEMENT AND THAT IF THERE IS NO ARAB AGREEMENT IT IS, AROUND THE INTERIM SETTLEMENT ISSUE.

((QUESTION)) IN AN OVERALL SETTLEMENT DO WE RISK

CRYSTALLIZING AN ARAB FRONT?

((ANSWER)) THERE IS AN ARAB FRONT AND A COMMITMENT BY ALL THE

ARAB STATES ON THE OVERALL SETTLEMENT ISSUE.

((QUESTION)) ALSO BY HUSAYN? IS IT SERIOUS? ((ANSWER)) HUSAYN DEFINITELY CANNOT PROCEED TO AN OVERALL SETTLEME

WE HAVE BEEN TOLD OF THIS EXPLICITY AND UNEQUIVOCALLY NT THROUGH THE AMERICANS. WITH REGARD TO AN OVERALL SETTLEMENT THERE IS AN ARAB COMMITMENT AT THIS STAGE. IF IT BECOMES APPARENT THAT THE CONDITIONS OF THE INTERIM SETTLEMENT ARE SUCH THAT WE CANNOT ACCEPT THEM, IT WILL BE OUR DUTY TO CONTINUE THE POLITICAL MOVEMENT. I AM AGAINST A FREEZE IN POLITICAL ACTIVITY, AND IF WE HAVE TO TACKLE AN OVERALL SETTLEMENT, WE WILL TACKLE HOWEVER, THERE IS AN ARAB COMMITMENT IT AND STRUGGLE OVER IT.

REGARDING SUCH A SETTLEMENT. ((QUESTION)) WHAT ARE WE EXPECTED TO FACE AT THE GENEVA CONFERENCE IF IT RECONVENES? WILL WE WORK IN JOINT COMMITTEES

FOR YSPARATE SETTLEMENTS WITH EACH ONE OF THE ARAB

((ANSWER)) IT SHOULD BE REMEMBERED THAT AT LEAST TWO SIDES ST ATES? EXIST IN EVERY POLITICAL MOVE: THE ARABS AND US. IN ADDITION TO THAT THE TWO BIG POWERS WILL ALSO BE IN GENEVA. OUR INTENTION, IF WE GO TO GENEVA, IS FIRST OF ALL TO INSURE THAT THE COMPOSITION OF THE PARTICIPANTS IN IT WILL BE THE SAME AS IN THE PREVIOUS GENEVA CONFERENCE SESSION, AND THAT THE DISCUSSIONS WILL TAKE PLACE IN SUBCOMMITTEES ON A BILATERAL BASIS BETWEEN ISRAEL AND EACH ONE OF THE STATES. A THIRD THING -- WHICH IS THE FIRST SUBJECT FOR DISCUSSION--IS THE QUESTION OF WHAT SORT OF PEACE ARE WE TALKING ABOUT, SINCE THE AIM OF THE GENEVA CONFERENCE IS TO ACHIEVE PEACE. THE NATURE OF THE MAP WHICH APSRAEL WILL PRESENT WILL BE LINKED TO THE NATURE OF PEACE. WE DO NOTT CONSIDER THE RETURN TO THE 1967 RPT 1967 BORDERS ((PASSAGE OMITTED))

((QUESTION)) THERE IS AN IMPRESSION AMONG THE PEOPLE THAT YOU AS POSSIBLE. ARE YOU A HAWK WITH ULTIMATE OBJECTIVES, NAMELY, THE SHAPE OF THE STATE OF ISRAEL, DISPLAY A HAWKISH COLOR.

OR ONLY WITH REGARD TO THE WAY WHIC LEADS TO IT?

I HAVE EXPLAINED ((ANSWER)) I DO NOTT ENGAGE IN DEFINITIONS. WHAT IS IT? YOU DECIDE. BASICALLY, BELIEVE THAT EVERYTHING MUST BE DONE TO MAINTAIN A POLITICAL PROCESS WHILE INSURING MILITARY STRENGTH. IN RETURN FOR PEACE I AM PREPARED FOR FAR-REACHING CONCESSIONS, BUT NOTT TO RETURN TO THE 1967 RPT 1967 BORDERS. I AM PREPARED TO ADVANCE TOWARD PEACE ALSO THROUGH INTERIM SETTLEMENTS, BUT WITHOUT JEOPARDIZING THE SECURITY OF ISRAEL. ((PASSAGE OMITTED)) 30 MAY MK/ERCK/NE ENDALL Ø1/1300Z JUN BT

#0016